

## 13

## What Happens Now?

**Why is the FBI hiding information?**

From a news report by the *Richmond Times* about Jose Velasquez, the supervisor of a gas station:

*Velasquez says the gas station's security cameras are close enough to the Pentagon to have recorded the moment of impact. "I've never seen what the pictures looked like," he said. "The FBI was here within minutes and took the film."*

That implies the FBI was *waiting* for the crash at the Pentagon, and as soon as it happened they drove around the area to confiscate videos from security cameras so that nobody could see what actually hit the building.

A report from the *Washington Times* claims that a security camera at a nearby hotel recorded Flight 77 as it hit the Pentagon, but the FBI did not get to the hotel quickly enough:

*Hotel employees sat watching the film in shock and horror several times before the FBI confiscated the video as part of its investigation.*

Bill Gertz and Rowan Scarborough, the reporters who wrote that article, were under the impression that the FBI confiscated the video as part of their "investigation." The FBI has good reason to demand information about a crime, but was the FBI *gathering* information or *hiding* it?

The FBI did not confiscate all videos of the airplanes crashing into the towers, so why did they confiscate all videos of the airplane hitting the Pentagon? More amazing, why do so few people care that the FBI did this? Why don't news reporters demand answers? Why are people so willing to accept what would normally be considered *highly suspicious behavior*? Why didn't the *Washington Times* locate and interview those hotel employees and ask them what they saw in that video?

**Why is Information vanishing from the Internet?**

A lot of Internet sites that had information or photos about the September 11th attack have vanished. Sometimes the sites are removed because the authors became tired of maintaining them and/or paying the fees. However, some sites disappeared without explanation. The strangest aspect of this is that there is an organization that has been archiving Internet data for several years, and there is a void in their

archived data concerning the September 11th attack. When I tried to access some of the archived data of an Air Force site, the following error message appeared:

Blocked Site Error.

Per the request of the site owner, <http://www.airforcetimes.com/> is no longer available in the Wayback Machine.

That error message implies that the Air Force had posted some documents and/or photos at their web site and the Wayback Machine eventually archived it. Later the Air Force decided to remove these particular pages from their site, and they demanded the archived copies of the entire site be removed, also. What was on the pages that the Air Force wants to keep secret? If the material is so dangerous, why did they post in the first place? Why so much secrecy about this 9-11 attack? If the American government has nothing to hide, why are they hiding so much information?

I have since discovered that an Internet site ([whatreallyhappened.com](http://whatreallyhappened.com)) is pointing out that archived data relating to the attack is also missing from major news organizations, United Airlines, and NASDAQ. This implies that somebody is trying to stop investigations of the suspicious investors (discussed on page 4).

The people at *whatreallyhappened* insist that Flight 77 hit the Pentagon, so their reasoning ability (and/or honesty) has to be questioned, so I checked the archives myself. Sure enough, the data is missing. Why are the news reporters ignoring this?

**Is information about Dyncorp hidden, also?**

After leaving the Army, Ben Johnston took a job as an aircraft maintenance technician with Dyncorp. He was sent to Bosnia to maintain American military aircraft. A year-and-a-half later he was fired from his job. He filed a lawsuit that accused Dyncorp personnel of corruption and buying sex slaves. Is Johnston a disgruntled employee who fabricated ridiculous accusations rather than admit that he was fired because of his own incompetence?

In April, 2002 a hearing was held by the House of Representatives to investigate the sex slave trade. Johnston testified that "*Dyncorp was involved in slave trading of young girls*" and "*Dyncorp personnel had young children living with them for sex.*"

What became of the investigation of the sex slave trade? Did Congress decide that Dyncorp was involved with it? Or did they decide that there were no sex slaves, and that Johnston was insane? Were you aware that Congress was looking into this issue? If not, what were the news reporters providing you in April, 2002 that was more important? Why has this issue vanished from the news?

### **If Linus Pauling is harassed, what happens to us?**

After America developed the atomic bomb in 1945, the military began testing them in the atmosphere, on the ground, and in the ocean. They also demanded the scientists develop bigger bombs, and the hydrogen bomb. Other nations wanted nuclear bombs, also.

In 1946 Linus Pauling joined the Emergency Committee of Atomic Scientists. This group had the attitude that the atomic bomb was so powerful that there was no need for nations to compete with each other to develop hydrogen bombs. While other famous scientists were in this group, such as Einstein, Pauling was perhaps the most active member. He traveled around the country to give speeches and circulate petitions. He also complained that testing nuclear weapons in the atmosphere was spreading radioactive waste, which in turn would cause birth defects and cancer. To further annoy the US government, he complained about President Truman's insistence that government employees take oaths of loyalty.

Many officials in the US government considered Pauling to be an enemy, and possibly a communist. The official government attitude was that it was safe to test nuclear bombs in the atmosphere, and that the world will become a better place when America has a lot more bombs.

Pauling continued to complain year after year about atmospheric testing, but rather than convince government officials of the danger, they became increasingly angry at him. In 1952 Pauling was invited to London to speak at a conference of scientists, and the US government took that opportunity to deny him a passport. In response, Pauling decided to invite some European scientists to America. The US government responded by refusing to allow Rosalind Franklin, a British scientist, into America. The US government was behaving like a child having a temper tantrum.†

---

† Franklin had taken some X-ray photographs that were important to Pauling's work. Two other scientists, Watson and Crick, were British citizens, so they could see Franklin's work. Her X-ray photographs put all of the pieces of the DNA puzzle into place, and Watson and Crick soon announced the structure of the DNA molecule. If Pauling had been allowed a passport, it is probable that Pauling would have been the first to figure it out.

At the same moment in time that Pauling was denied a passport, millions of Americans were boasting that America was a better nation than Russia because the Russian government refused to let its citizens travel to other nations. Most Americans were either oblivious to the hypocrisy of their statements, or they agreed with the US government that Pauling was a communist who deserved punishment.

In 1954 Pauling was awarded the Nobel Prize in chemistry. This created an embarrassing dilemma for the US government. The award ceremony was in Europe, but the US government was not permitting Pauling to travel to other nations. If they continued to deny him a passport, other nations might complain that the US Government was behaving exactly like the Russian government. The US government gave in and allowed him a passport. What would have happened if he hadn't been awarded the Nobel Prize until 1960, or 1971? Would he have been denied a passport all those years?

The American government had no interest in practicing what they preached. They preached "Free Speech" but they tried to silence critics. In an interview at UC Berkeley in 1996 Pauling recalled:

*"I was threatened by the Internal Security Subcommittee of the Senate with a year in jail for contempt of Senate, when I was being harassed by the Internal Security Subcommittee."*

Pauling remained an enemy of America for many years after winning the Noble prize because he continued to complain about atmospheric weapons testing. In 1958 he obtained 2000 signatures from American scientists asking for atmospheric testing to stop. Scientists from foreign countries then asked to sign. Eventually Pauling presented 13,000 signatures to the United Nations. The American government eventually gave in. On July 25, 1963 the Limited Test Ban Treaty was signed by the United States, Britain and the Soviet Union.

In 1963 the Nobel Committee decided to award Pauling a Peace Prize. Rather than boast that Americans won another Nobel prize, an editor of *Life* magazine responded with an editorial entitled, "*A weird insult from Norway.*"

Was Pauling the only person the American government harassed or threatened with jail? Was Pauling the only person that the editors of *Life* magazine tried to give a bad image to? If a famous scientist has his passport blocked for complaining about radioactive waste falling on us, what happens to ordinary people who complain about the 9-11 scam; sex slaves at Dyncorp; or corruption at the FBI? If a world-famous scientist is threatened with a year in jail after using his freedom of speech to disagree with the American government, what might happen if an ordinary person used his freedom of speech?

## Was the explosion at Port Chicago nuclear?

You may now be ready to consider the possibility that the *incredibly* large explosion at a Navy port in San Francisco Bay at 10:20 PM on July 17, 1944 was actually the first test of a nuclear bomb. The US Navy claims that a ship at Port Chicago was being loaded with conventional bombs for the war when one of the bombs accidentally ignited, which then set off all other bombs in the ship and on the dock.

The most suspicious aspect of the accident is that some scientists and engineers from Los Alamos Laboratories, who were struggling to develop a nuclear bomb, were at the site the next morning to investigate. They eventually produced 400 to 600 pages of documents about the accident. The scientists were frantically struggling to develop a nuclear bomb at the time, so telling them to investigate an irrelevant accident and then write *hundreds* of pages about it is equivalent to telling surgeons who are in the middle of surgery to stop what they are doing and go to the store to pick up coffee and donuts. Obviously, that “accident” was extremely important to the nuclear bomb project.

Witnesses had no concept of a nuclear bomb, so it never occurred to them that it might be nuclear, but their descriptions seem to describing a tiny nuclear bomb. For example, a pilot who was at 2700 meters (9000 feet) is reported to have seen pieces of white-hot metal “as big as a house” fly by. Other witnesses mention a brilliant flash of light. In addition to vaporizing the ship and destroying the port, it carved an oval crater at the bottom of the port that was 20 meters deep, and 90 by 210 meters at the top (66 ft deep, 300 by 700 ft at the top). Seismic sensors showed a magnitude of 3.5; can anybody offer evidence that conventional explosives in a floating ship can create such a powerful shock in the earth?

If you need more evidence that the explosion was nuclear, the Contra Costa County Office of Education has a web site about it, as well as links to other sites and books. Of course, since they are part of the US government, they have a note on their site to let us know that they believe the official explanation. In other words, they provide information about the nuclear possibility only for entertainment. So enjoy it, but don't believe it.

## Imagine yourself in their era

It is difficult for somebody in our era to imagine the military testing a nuclear bomb on themselves, but in 1944 nobody knew what a nuclear bomb was. The physicists certainly had a good idea about the possible destruction, but the military may have been visualizing a very large bomb. The military placed the nuclear bomb underneath the ship, or at the bottom of it. The purpose of the test may have been to see if a nuclear bomb could sink a ship. The military may have been shocked (actually, excited) when it destroyed the entire port and carved a giant crater.

## Did somebody give nuclear technology to Russia?

The Russians developed a nuclear bomb so quickly that the American government was certain that somebody had provided them with the technology. This is difficult to believe because Stalin's troops were still in control of Eastern Europe at the time. Furthermore, it was widely believed that Stalin was a violent man who could not be trusted. So who would provide him with nuclear technology? And why?

Ethel and Julius Rosenberg were given the death penalty for providing nuclear technology to Russia, but I cannot see how they had access to it or would know what it looked like. I would think that one of the nuclear physicists would have to be involved.

Oppenheimer was one of the physicists who had access to the important information. Soon after the bomb was developed the US military considered him a potential threat to America because of his opposition to hydrogen bombs and because he associated with people the military considered to be communists. However, why would he or any other physicist provide the technology to Russia when they would be given the death penalty if they were caught? How could providing the technology to Russia be worth risking their lives when the Russians were capable of figuring it out on their own?

Imagine for a moment that Oppenheimer put the first bomb together in July, 1944. Imagine he suggested that it be tested in a remote location in the desert because of the radiation hazard and the size of the blast. What would Oppenheimer think if the military disregarded everything he said and insisted the bomb be tested on a real ship so that they can see how it operates in a real situation? What if Oppenheimer explained over and over that it would be beyond a mere “explosion,” and what if the arrogant and stubborn military leaders responded with such remarks as, “*We will test the bomb at night, when only niggers are working.*” †

From 1945 onward scientists pointed out to the military that a one-megaton bomb is equivalent to all the bombs dropped during the entire second world war, and that the only use for such a large bomb would be the annihilation of cities. The military did not merely ignore the scientists; rather, they demanded hydrogen bombs much larger than one-megaton. The US government also disregarded warnings about atmospheric testing of bombs; actually, they harassed scientists who complained about it. For all we know, Oppenheimer was harassed as well. Would the scientists be impressed with the US military?

---

† Of the 320 people killed in that blast, 202 were black. If the ship had been the only object destroyed in the blast, rather than the entire port, all of the casualties may have been black because black people were loading the ship at the time. There was still a segregation of the races in 1944.

To further make the USA look stupid, in 1945 Americans were boasting that the war was over and that the Americans had won. However, Stalin's troops were still in control of Eastern Europe. The war didn't end in 1945; rather, America simply decided that Germany and Japan were the "enemies" and that Britain and Russia were the "allies." I would say Stalin won that war, or at least benefitted greatly from it.

Incidentally, General Patton complained in 1945 that Stalin was not our ally, and that war was not over. In response to those and other remarks, he was discharged from the military. American citizens have freedom of speech, but not top military leaders. A couple months later Patton died in a car accident.†

Are *you* impressed by the behavior of the American government? If not, what are the chances that the scientists were?

Perhaps some of the scientists experienced the type of sadness and concern that you would feel if you were to see a group of children with guns, *and* who were demanding *gigantic* guns, *and* who were harassing people who told them not to test the guns by shooting them in air because the bullets eventually fall down somewhere in the city.

Many of the scientists came to the USA from Europe, and some of them may have felt that they had just given a powerful bomb to a group of idiots. Perhaps one or more of them decided a nuclear adversary might keep America under control.

### Why no progress with Anthrax?

Barbara Rosenberg is a molecular biologist at the State University of New York. She also has the title of "Chair of the Federation of American Scientists Working Group On Biological Weapons." She has been complaining since at least 5 February 2002 that only a few dozen microbiologists in the entire nation have both access to anthrax and the expertise to work with it. With so few possible suspects, she asks, "*Is the FBI Dragging Its Feet?*" She claims it should be easy for the FBI to figure out who did it.

If you agree with me that the 9-11 and Kennedy investigations were scams, you should consider the possibility that the anthrax investigation is also a scam. First, the FBI may not be trying to figure out who mailed those anthrax letters. Rather, the FBI may be trying to cover up the attack, just as they hide information about the 9-11 attack.

Second, Rosenberg has taken an active role in helping us figure out who mailed those anthrax letters. However, for all we know, she is a member of the Axis Of Good, and her friends mailed those letters. The Axis of Good may be looking for a patsy to blame the anthrax on. Once that patsy has been arrested or killed, most Americans will consider the case closed.

### The JFK and 9-11 Pied Pipers

If you agree with me that many professors, news reporters, doctors, policemen, and government officials lied about Kennedy and 9-11, how can we trust anybody on the anthrax issue? I think some of the people who have taken the role of helping us understand these issues are actually in the role of "Pied Pipers" who are trying to lead the citizens in the wrong direction.

## *Has the US government been taken over?*

It is common for government officials to change their positions, be fired from their job, and retire. However, the changes that have been going on since September 11th seem beyond "normal." From the *Washington Post* on 11 April 2002:

*President Bush has approved widespread changes at the top of the US military that will put in place a new generation of relatively nonconformist officers who are likely to be more supportive of the administration's goal of radically changing the armed forces, Pentagon officials said last night.*

That is an example of the vague statements that come out in the news every so often about management changes in our government. Note that the report describes the people being promoted as "*more supportive of the administration's goal.*" This is the same as saying that people being promoted are more submissive; less able to think for themselves; more willing to do whatever they are told without asking why; and/or a member of the Axis of Good.

Furthermore, what is "*the administration's goal?*" The *Washington Post* wrote that the goal was "*radically changing the armed forces,*" but what are they "*radically changing*" it to? How can our government make such vague statements without news reporters asking for details? Why are so few people questioning what our government is doing?

---

† The US Navy claims Patton was driving 48 kph (30 mph) when a truck driving 16 kph (10 mph) made a left turn in front of him. Why should we believe it was an accident when the US military lies so often?

Would the military promote a person who advocated releasing all video tapes of Flight 77 hitting the Pentagon? Would President Bush be willing to promote a person who demanded an investigation of the World Trade Center attack? Would the CIA promote a person who demanded an investigation of Dyncorp or the issue of sex slaves? Would the FBI be willing to promote a person who advocated an investigation of why the FBI hides information?

I suspect that members of the Axis of Good are being given high level government jobs. This gives them more control of our government. This also makes the *Coup* record label even more of a coincidence. In a sense, America was taken over on September 11th. Unlike typical revolutions, which involve fighting, America has been taken over with deception.

After the 9-11 attack Jerry Hauer became advisor for the nation's health secretary, Tommy Thompson. Did he get this job because he was "more supportive of the administration's goal"? One reporter referred to Hauer as a "New York City bioterrorism specialist." Is Hauer using his bioterrorism expertise to help the FBI solve the anthrax case? Why do so few Americans care who these people are and what they do?

### Is it safe to contact the FBI?

If you have information about who is a member of the Axis of Good, or how the towers were blown up, and if you contact the FBI to tell them about it, will the FBI be grateful, or regard you as an enemy? Is the FBI trying to solve these crimes, or cover them up? Are you willing to trust your life to the FBI? John O'Neill was a Deputy Director of the FBI, and he is dead; how long do you think *you* would survive a fight with the Axis of Good?

As many as 14 biologists have died in strange ways since 9-11. For example, on 15 November 2001 Professor Don Wiley of Harvard University was in Memphis, Tennessee to attend a dinner of the St. Jude Children's Research Hospital. He left at midnight and drove off in his rental car by himself to his father's home in Memphis, where he was staying. Four hours later the police found his car abandoned on a bridge across the Mississippi River, which was the wrong direction to get to his father's house. The keys were in the ignition, there was nothing wrong with the car, and he never turned on his hazard lights. Why was he driving in that direction? And why would he stop on a bridge at midnight? Was he intoxicated? Perhaps, although nobody at the dinner admitted to drinking with him or noticing him showing signs of intoxication.

Harvard University and the St. Jude Children's Research Hospital offered a \$10,000 reward to encourage citizens to help find him, but nobody came forward with information. About five weeks later his body was found along the river. How did he end up in the river?

While the strange deaths of these biologists may be coincidence, we would be foolish if we did not consider the possibility that they were connected to the Anthrax attacks. Perhaps those biologists had naively contacted the FBI (or Barbara Rosenberg) with information about who did it.

The Axis of Good is risking more than a murder charge. They are certain to go to tremendous extremes to protect themselves. Since many members are government officials (and some seem to be from other nations), they have access to a lot of money and advanced weapons. Does it make sense for you or me to start a fight with them? When corruption is as extreme as it is in the USA, it is best to avoid trouble until you have more support.

### There is nobody to protect us

The FBI was designed specifically to deal with serious crimes, but they appear to be involved in one scam after the next. The military was also designed to protect America, but they seem to be involved in as many scams as the FBI. The same goes for the CIA, FEMA, and just about every other government agency. This means we have *no government agency to protect us*. This in turn means that you would be a fool to fight the Axis of Good; you would be a fool to put your life in the hands of President Bush, the FBI, or the US military.

More amazing to me, we cannot even get support from the American citizens; rather, most of them will insult us as being "conspiracy nuts." This situation reminds me of the child who ran away from Jeff Dahmer, went to the police for help, and the police handed him back to Dahmer. If you find yourself in trouble with the Axis of Good, and if you run to the American citizens for help, they will turn their back on you.

I think the World Trade Center attack was a scam, but I am *not* going to fight the Axis of Good. If you know any critical information about this attack, you might want a similar attitude. In other words, discuss what you know, but don't fight the Axis of Good. As long as the American voters create crummy, dishonest governments, and as long as most Americans regard us as conspiracy nuts, there is no sense worrying about this corruption. A nation cannot be helped when the majority of citizens refuse to admit it needs help.

The situation in America right now reminds me of the stories of the corruption in the city governments of Chicago and New York City many decades ago; i.e., everybody knew those city governments were corrupt, but nobody did anything about it. As individual citizens, none of us can do anything about organized crime. One of the purposes of the FBI was to deal with these organized criminal groups, but the FBI appears to be one of them.

## *Who is really to blame?*

Congresswoman McKinney of Georgia suspects the Bush administration is lying about the 9-11 attack, and she was involved in the investigation about the Dyncorp sex slaves. In response to her requests for more investigations, Kathleen Parker of the *Orlando Sentinel* insulted her as “possibly a delusional paranoid.” Jonah Goldberg of the *National Review* wrote that McKinney is *more repugnant than Yasser Arafat’s three-week-old underwear*. These journalists do not provide news reports or encourage discussions; rather, they encourage their readers to insult McKinney.

Freedom of speech has no value if you cannot use it. The scientific progress that has been made during the past few thousand years came from scientists who *discussed* issues, not scientists who *insulted* one another. Many scientists proposed a theory that later turned out to be completely or partially incorrect, but if they had not considered all theories, they never would have figured out which theories were more accurate. Another way to describe this is, *unless you are willing to fail, you will never achieve progress*.

These same concepts apply to the 9-11 attack. The only way to understand what happened on September 11th is to discuss the issue. Many of our theories will turn out to be completely or partially incorrect, but unless we can freely discuss our thoughts, we will never be able to figure out which theories are most accurate. We cannot be afraid to discuss an issue simply because it may be incorrect.

### **The problem is the American people**

Some people accuse the CIA of being behind, or taking advantage of, the 9-11 attack. There are also accusations that Britain, Israel, China, Iraq, France, Saudi Arabia, and/or other foreign nations are involved. However, regardless of how many foreign nations or US government agencies were involved, the ultimate responsibility lies with the American people. The American people were the ones who created the American government, the FBI, the university system, and the media that is deceiving us about this attack. Also, American citizens are working for those agencies and subscribing to these deceptive publications, not Al Qaeda terrorists or people in foreign nations.

You probably know at least one alcoholic. When you think of that person, do you feel anger towards the businesses that produce alcoholic beverages? Or do you feel sadness for that person? Do you feel that he is the source of his own problems?

Next consider cocaine users. When you think of a cocaine user, do you feel that he is the source of his own problems? Or do you find yourself becoming angry at those

South American drug “pushers” for “pushing” him into purchasing drugs at high prices and then using those drugs to excess?

Most Americans realize that an alcoholic is the source of his own problems, but the widespread attitude in America is that people who use heroin or cocaine are being controlled by foreigners who push drugs on us. As a result, US taxpayers waste millions of dollars each year in an attempt to stop the Mexican and South American drug pushers from forcing us to take drugs. Rarely does an American tell a drug user to be responsible for himself.

I don’t feel anger towards farmers who grow opium poppies, nor do I feel anger towards the people who committed this 9-11 scam. Rather, I feel sad for America. A nation that has an incompetent government is vulnerable to abuse from both its own citizens and from foreign nations.

The American people are allowing these scams to occur, just as they allow themselves to abuse drugs. Blaming foreign nations or the FBI for this attack is not dealing with the problem. The problem is that the American people are doing a terrible job of selecting government officials and managing their nation.

It would certainly be interesting to see who is in the Axis of Good, which nations they work for, and how they accomplished the scam, but even if all of them were arrested we would still have the same incompetent government; the same ugly, disorganized cities; the same lousy television news; the same deteriorating economy; and the same lousy train system. The only way to make a better nation is for the American people to become better citizens and better voters.

## *We need higher standards for government officials*

During the 1990’s Republicans subjected us to many years of lectures in which we were told that President Clinton was unfit for the presidency, mainly because he lied about his sexual activities and he had smoked marijuana. The Republicans were furious in 1996 when Clinton beat the Republican candidate once again.

I was certain that the Republicans were so upset after losing twice to Clinton that they would make an effort to find somebody for the 2000 elections who was truly better than what the Democrats would offer. However, their superior alternative to a marijuana smoker who lies about his sex life was a man who was born into wealth; a man who was an alcoholic for many years; a man who was rumored to have had cocaine problems; a man with no useful skills. George

Bush appears to be a puppet. His vice president seemed on the verge of dying from a heart attack. How is the Bush Administration an improvement over the Clinton Administration?

In the 2000 election, the Democrats offered Al Gore. While Al Gore may not have drug problems, he seems neurotic beyond what I would call "normal."

How can a nation take care of itself when the American voters believe Gore and Bush are the two best candidates this nation can find?

### Where do all the bad kids go?

When I was a child there was an older child living a few houses away who would occasionally torture animals and hurt other kids in the neighborhood. His family moved to another city before he finished high school so I never saw him as an adult. I often wondered where such violent people find jobs. If torturing animals and other kids is entertainment to them, how could they fit in with "normal" people?

Some mentally ill people are capable of controlling themselves enough during the day to hold jobs in private businesses without any of us realizing that in their leisure time they are killing and torturing people. John Wayne Gacy (he raped, tortured, and killed a lot of people) is an example. But what happens to the people whose personalities are so undesirable and/or so violent that private businesses do not want them?

From what I have seen, our government and universities are like sponges, soaking up the unemployable citizens. This results in a lousy government and school system, plus these people create a tax burden on us and cause tuition to rise.

Every nation's military has always provided mentally defective people with jobs, even if they have serious alcohol or other drug problems, and even if they have a history of arrests and jail sentences. Parents with badly behaved children often push their kids into the military in the hope that the military will make them behave better. These parents use the military as a treatment center for the mentally ill. However, if any of those mentally ill people get promoted, they may promote other mentally ill people, as well as fire people such as General Patton. The end result is a military dominated by lunatics.

The secrecy of the CIA makes it difficult to determine the mental stability of its employees, but I suspect mental illness is widespread in that agency, also.

### Will any nation deal with mental illness?

The process of creating a human seems so simple; a sperm and egg join together and then a little baby develops. In reality, creating a new life requires a lot of extremely complex chemical reactions to take place, and mistakes are common with those reactions. A mistake can result in a human mind or body that does not function properly. Take a

serious look at yourself and the people around you and notice all the defects we all have. While many defects are trivial, such as a non-symmetrical face or a blemish on the skin, some are serious, such as siamese twins and Downs syndrome. Creating a human is a difficult, sensitive process.

Furthermore, brain damage can occur after birth. It may be a coincidence, but when Westley Allan Dodd was a child he fell off a fence and hit the ground so hard that he went unconscious. (Dodd raped, tortured, and killed a lot of children). Boxers suffer brain damage from the pounding they take; why wouldn't children also risk brain damage when their heads are hit hard? For all we know, a child will suffer *more* brain damage than a boxer because the child's brain is in the process of developing.

John Wayne Gacy was hit in the head by a swing when he was eleven years old, and it caused a blood clot that doctors didn't notice until he was sixteen. During those five years he experienced many blackouts. The blackouts stopped when doctors gave him medication to dissolve the clot. For all we know, he suffered brain damage during those five years.

People with mental defects have a difficult time enjoying life. The people with the most severe defects often end up living in the streets, eating out of garbage cans, and committing crimes. People with less severe defects seem to end up in the military and CIA, where violence is an accepted *part of the job*. Ted Bundy may have fit in among the people who planned the 9-11 attack. Gary Heidnik kept as many as three women at a time alive as sex slaves in a pit under the floor of his home, so he might have loved working at Dyncorp.

We should face the unpleasant fact that life is a tricky process, and that defects will always occur in all animals, plants, and humans. We must set higher standards of mental health for our government employees. Parents with badly behaved children should not push them into the military, FBI, or CIA; rather, we need to keep the mentally ill under control.

Adolf Hitler supposedly spent some time living in a park, and sleeping on a bench. However, not many German voters considered his inability to take care of himself to be a sign that he was unfit to be a government leader. Nor were voters concerned that his tantrums were a sign of trouble.

No nation yet shows any concern about whether their government leaders have alcohol or other drug problems, nor do voters care about the mental health of government leaders. Actually, rather than be concerned about the issue, most Americans try to pretend that *nobody is mentally defective*. The defective people are referred to as "autistic," or "disadvantaged," or "developmentally challenged." This is equivalent to a used car salesman insisting that a car is not "defective," rather, it is a "mechanically challenged" car, or an "autistic" car.

Americans frequently blame their problems on the “poverty” they suffered during their childhood, despite the fact that even the poorest of Americans are extremely wealthy in comparison to people in other nations and eras. Americans also love to blame their problems on the lack of a mother or father during their childhood due to divorce or death. However, it takes only a few minutes to look through the American population and realize that many of us experienced identical childhoods. Linus Pauling’s father, for example, died when Linus was only 9 years old, and his mother had to struggle to support herself and her children. If Pauling had become a serial killer, he could have used the excuse that it was due to his poverty and the lack of a father.

We must face the unpleasant fact that many people are defective at birth, and it makes no difference what type of childhood those defective people have. George Bush, for example, was born into a wealthy family that had both a mother and father, but he ended up – from what I can determine – as an alcoholic with no useful skills who is getting a free ride in life because of the family he was born into.

We should also try to understand how to keep children in good mental health. Instead of making more bombs we should do research into nutrition and the effect sports has on a child’s brain. For all we know, allowing children to play sports in which their heads are hit or shaken is more dangerous than allowing them to use cocaine.

### Our leaders should be happy and healthy

How can we do nothing with the CIA after they got caught conducting LSD experiments on American citizens? Their experiments were only slightly more scientific than the electric shocks that Jerry Brudos gave to Linda Salee’s body after he killed her. (He was trying to make her body dance, but he discovered that “*Instead it just burned her.*”) How can we give billions of dollars to these people, allow them to develop whatever weapons they please, and never check to see what they are doing with these weapons? Why is there so much concern about Bill Clinton’s sexual activities while thousands of mentally defective people are spending billions of tax dollars on weapons and plotting fake terrorist attacks?

A better society would allow only happy, healthy citizens in control of it, and the unhappy, angry, and defective people would be monitored and suppressed. America is the exact opposite. The healthy people are enjoying life and working at normal jobs, while the mentally defective people are taking management positions in government and working as government contractors. Nobody is watching these nutty officials or contractors. They can spend their time drinking, buying sex slaves, and planning wars without anybody noticing or caring.

For years I have heard people complain about abuse by the FBI and other government agencies, and I assumed that those people were just criminals who were upset because they were arrested for their crimes. Mike Ruppert, who was fired from his job as a narcotics investigator for the Los Angeles Police, appears to be a disgruntled employee when he claims that he was fired because he discovered in 1977 that the CIA was dealing drugs, and the book *The Franklin Coverup* by former Senator John DeCamp appears to be delusional. However, our government was involved in 9-11, so shouldn’t we at least consider those other accusations?

### What was the Motive for the 9-11 Attack?

It’s difficult to find a sensible motive for the 9-11 attack. The attack seems to be *hurting* America, not helping us. One theory is that a few rich families or corporations did it for money, but why would our military attack its own headquarters and spend tens of billions of dollars just so some rich people could make a few more million dollars? Other people assume the motive was to get oil, but what oil have we gotten?

For all we know, the CIA and other agencies are dominated by people who have mental disorders. Perhaps they truly believe that they will make America a better nation with scams that appear to be taken from the TV show “*Mission Impossible*”. To be more blunt, perhaps our government is full of lunatics who are taking us on a wild ride in an attempt to help us.

Also, some government officials may be easily deceived, bribed, and blackmailed into doing things that hurt America. Other officials may go along with the scams simply because they feel helpless to stop them, or because they worry about having a mysterious accident or suicide if they resist.



\*\* Copyright by who? Ramirez? \*\*\*

**Figure 13-1** Richard Ramirez (the serial killer) drew this in prison. Do any of our government leaders have similar attitudes?



## *9-11 was like the 1776 Revolution*

All nations are created from violence, lies, and treachery, including the United States of America in 1776. Violence is also used to bring about improvements to a nation. For example, violence helped workers achieve safe conditions in factories during the 1800's. One of the unfortunate characteristic of humans is that we resist changes in our nations and our lives. Violence and threats of violence are one technique to make us seriously consider alternatives.

The 9-11 scam is no more "wrong" than any other act of violence. Or, to rephrase that, if the World Trade Center scam was wrong, then every other act of violence is wrong, including the creation of America. This is true regardless of whether the scam was conducted by Americans, Osama, or some foreign group.

Unfortunately, not many people can look at the 9-11 attack without getting emotionally carried away. For example, there are reports of children who have been devastated by the loss of a mother or father. Many adults also claim to be devastated due to the loss of a friend or spouse. However, if the thousands of people who died in that attack had died from an automobile accident or cancer, nobody would be devastated. Instead, people would be telling each other and their children to quit crying and get on with life.

Millions of Americans reacted to the attack by advocating a slaughter of Arabs. They were willing and eager to spend billions of dollars to kill Arabs. Compare this to their reaction when people die in car accidents. Only a few people react to car accidents by advocating we design better cities and better public transportation; only a few people are eager to spend money to make better cities. Actually, when most people see a car accident up the road, they slow down to look, as if it is family entertainment.

All nations can easily find funding for war, but no nation can easily find funding for city planning or public transportation. This is why America has an enormous collection of advanced weapons, but our cities are a haphazard jumble of ugly buildings and lousy public transportation. The CIA gladly spends billions of their secret budget on weapons, but they will not spend any money on the study of better public transportation systems or better designs for cities. The CIA prefers to spend their time and money on destruction and death.

Humans love to fight with each other, just as animals do; there is no other way to explain our priorities and our endless acts of violence.

### **Who would support the 9-11 scam?**

If the result of the 9-11 scam had been a better nation and a better world, I would gladly support it, and so would most people. When an act of violence improves life, we regard the violence and the perpetrators the same as we regard surgery and doctors; specifically, we dislike the pain and destruction, and we are relieved when it is finally over, but we are thankful to the people for doing it. However when violence does not improve our lives, or when it creates more problems than it solves, we condemn the violence and want to kill the people who conducted it.

Has America or the world improved since September 11th? Some people might say it has. For example, some people who develop weapons are profiting from the scam. The CIA and FBI also seem to have benefitted because they now have fewer restrictions and more money. I suppose Dyncorp has benefitted, also, because the accusations of sex slaves and corruption has not made it in the news. However, life has not improved for "normal" people. The American economy has become worse for most of us; morale is slightly worse; and our cities are still the same ugly, haphazard jumble of buildings and roads. Life in Afghanistan has yet to improve, also. Americans are also wasting an incredible amount of money and their personal time on security. America is becoming a nation of fear and war-time security procedures, not a happier nation.

Revolutions are attempts to make better nations. While revolutions are chaotic and violent, and while they all create new problems as a side effect, my point is that the *purpose* of a revolution is to improve a nation. Compare that to this fake attack by Osama; what was the purpose for it? To justify killing Arabs? To justify larger military budgets? To get rid of the World Trade Center? To get access to Caspian oil? To have an excuse to attack Iraq?

If the Axis of Good has noble goals, why do they keep their goals and themselves a secret? The American Revolution in 1776 did not have a secret purpose; rather, its purpose was discussed in newspapers and books. If the people conducting this 9-11 scam have nothing to be ashamed of, why don't they explain who they are and what they are trying to do? Why are these people behaving like David Berkowitz rather than like Thomas Jefferson?

I say the reason is because the American government is full of people like David Berkowitz; the American government is a sponge that has soaked up a lot of the unemployable, mentally ill people. I bet that if Thomas Jefferson was alive today he would advocate rebelling against the US government.

### Why did the Air Force allow the attack?

How could everybody in the U.S. Military and air traffic controller system ignore all four hijacked airplanes? Perhaps because of the exercises that were taking place that morning. In November 2002, a few months after the first edition of this book was published, Christopher Bollyn wrote about an exercise conducted by the National reconnaissance Office in which an airplane would crash into a building near the Pentagon on the morning of September 11th.

Several other exercises were taking place near New York City. These exercises could easily be used to deceive the air traffic controllers and the military into thinking that the hijacked airplanes were part of an exercise.

Maj. Gen. Larry Arnold, commander of the Continental U.S. NORAD Region, told ABC news that when he was informed about the hijacked aircraft about 8:40 a.m., *"The first thing that went through my mind was, 'Is this part of the exercise? Is this some kind of screw-up?'"* A small group of people could have deceived thousands by scheduling those particular exercises on that particular morning.

### The Northwoods Document

A document written in 1962 describes scenarios in which the U.S. military could justify attacking Cuba. This document is referred to as the Northwoods Document. In the section ***Pretext to Justify US Military Intervention in Cuba*** are many scenarios, four of which are:

3. A "Remember the Maine" incident could be arranged in several forms:
  - a) We could blow up a US ship in Guantanamo Bay and blame Cuba.
  - b) We could blow up a drone (unmanned) vessel anywhere in the Cuban waters. We could arrange to cause such incident in the vicinity of Havana or Santiago as a spectacular result of Cuban attack from the air or sea, or both.
4. We could develop a Communist Cuban terror campaign in the Miami area, other Florida cities and even in Washington. The terror campaign could be pointed at Cuban refugees seeking haven in the United States. We could sink a boatload of Cubans enroute to Florida (real or simulated).
6. Use of MIG type aircraft by US pilots could provide additional provocation. Harassment of civil air, attacks on surface shipping and destruction of US military drone aircraft by MIG type planes would be useful as complementary actions. An F-86 properly painted would convince air passengers that they saw a Cuban MIG, especially if the pilot of the transport were to announce such a fact.

8. It is possible to create an incident which will demonstrate convincingly that a Cuban aircraft has attacked and shot down a chartered civil airliner enroute from the United States to Jamaica, Guatemala, Panama or Venezuela. The destination would be chosen only to cause the flight plan route to cross Cuba. The passengers could be a group of college students off on a holiday or any grouping of persons with a common interest to support chartering a non-scheduled flight.

The Northwoods Document (if it is real - there are doubts about it) shows that the U.S. military was looking for a way to deceive the world into justifying a war with Cuba. Is it outlandish to suspect our government of conducting the 9-11 attack in an attempt to justify wars in the Mideast?

To complicate the 9-11 attack, some of the people involved in the deception may have decided to secretly take advantage of it. For example, the demolition of the World Trade Center may not have been part of the "official" plan.

### Should we plan America's funeral now?

Many Americans believe the best way to fight "the Axis of Evil" is through warfare. I think the best policy is to make America into a truly impressive nation, thereby inspiring other nations to become more like us. Unfortunately, instead of impressing other nations, we are allowing our nation to deteriorate, and we are giving the world reasons to despise us.

When citizens ignore crime and corruption, it is likely to encourage more crime and corruption. There are two reasons for this. One is that the people committing the crime may decide to do another, and another, and then one more. The second reason is that other groups of people, including people in foreign nations, may decide that since America is hopeless and helpless, they may as well conduct some crimes also.

Will the American people do something to correct the situation? Or will they allow the USA to deteriorate?

### Thanks for your sacrifices, guys!

As I review the lies and deception of the Kennedy killing and the 9-11 attack I find myself wondering,

*"Is this the Free Press that the Americans killed all those Nazis, Vietnamese, Japanese, and Iraqis for? Is this the government that John McCain suffered in a Vietnamese prison for? Is this the university system that Bob Dole lost the use of his arm for?"*

If so, thanks for your sacrifices, John and Bob, and all you other vets!